



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)  
DW Dec-06

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT  
740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD  
BLDG. 082-1  
LEXINGTON KY 40550-0999

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 12 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Goin et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION TO
Application No. 10/694,679	:	WITHDRAW HOLDING OF
Filed: 28 October, 2003	:	ABANDONMENT
Attorney Docket No. 2003-	:	
0168.01 (58521.US/4665.0)	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed on 10 October, 2006, to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application was held abandoned for failure to timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed on 15 December, 2005, which set a three (3)-month shortened statutory period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 28 September, 2006.

Petitioners assert that the non-final Office action mailed on 15 December, 2005, was never received..

In the absence of any irregularity in the mailing of the final Office action, there is a strong presumption that the final Office action was properly mailed to practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the final Office action was not in fact received. The showing required to establish the failure to receive an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. See "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received" 1156 Official Gazette 53

(November 16, 1993) and M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c). The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the final Office action may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the final Office action was lost in the mail.

A review of the record indicates that the Office action was properly mailed to the practitioner of record at the correspondence address of record at the time of mailing. Thus, there was no irregularity in mailing the Office action on the part of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

In support, the petition includes a statement from the practitioner, Scott N. Barker, stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner, and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and counsel's docket indicates that counsel did not receive the Office action. A copy of the file jacket and counsel's docket report where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received and docketed is attached to, and referenced in, the petition.

The petitioners have made a sufficient showing of nonreceipt of the Office action. As such, there was no abandonment in fact. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment is hereby vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn.

The petition is GRANTED.

It is noted that applicant has filed an amendment in reply to the Office action mailed on 15 December, 2005, with the present petition. As such the Office action will not be remailed. Rather, the amendment filed with the present petition will be accepted as timely filed.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2853 for consideration of the reply filed with the present petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions